

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/693,034	10/20/2000	Gerald E. Schmidt	32444	8044
29669	7590 11/29/2002			
	& PEARSON, LLP	EXAMINER		
10 GEAORGIA STREET LOWELL, MA 01852			DOUGHERTY	, THOMAS M
		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2834	
			DATE MAILED: 11/29/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The state of the s			
The second secon			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/693,034	SCHMIDT ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
. 10	Thomas M. Dougherty	2834	
' The MAILING DATE of this communication a			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mai earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- reply within the statutory minimum of thirty od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON- tute, cause the application to become AB- tilling date of this communication, even if ti	uply be timely filed  1 (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20	<u>0 October 2000</u> .		
, <u> </u>	This action is non-final.		
<li>Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice unde isposition of Claims</li>	owance except for formal mat er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.C	ters, prosecution as to the merits is D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are pending in the applicati	ion.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.		
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-25 and 28-34</u> is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,26 and 27</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and pplication Papers	d/or election requirement.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 20 October 2001 is/ar	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objec	cted to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ince. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ d	isapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in	• •		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.		
riority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. {	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority docume			
2. Certified copies of the priority docume			
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the present of the present of the international if the second of the second of</li></ul>	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
14) $igttimes$ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome			
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language p 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	provisional application has be	een received.	
tachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Office	Action Summary	Part of Paper !	

Application/Control Number: 09/693,034

Art Unit: 2834

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hanafy (US 5,945,770). Hanafy shows (figs. 2, 3) an acoustic transducer comprising: a honeycomb structure having a plurality of cells (each comprised of 24, 26, 28); and each of said cells comprises a piezoelectric transducer. Each of said plurality of cells comprises a multi-sided cell. Each piezoelectric transducer comprises a stack (24, 26, 28) having at least one piezocomposite element.

Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Haun et al. (US 5,945,770). Haun et al. show (fig. 1) an acoustic transducer comprising: a honeycomb structure having a plurality of cells (16); and each of said cells comprises a piezoelectric transducer. Each of said plurality of cells comprises a cylindrical cell.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nakaya et al. (US 5,684,884). Nakaya et al. show (fig. 2) an acoustic transducer comprising: a honeycomb structure having a plurality of cells (14); and each of said cells (14) comprises a piezoelectric transducer. Each of said plurality of cells comprises a multi-sided cell.

Application/Control Number: 09/693,034

Art Unit: 2834

Claims 1, 3 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kim et al. (US 5,376,859). Kim et al. show (fig. 1) an acoustic transducer comprising: a honeycomb structure having a plurality of cells (24); and each of said cells (24) comprises a piezoelectric transducer. Each of said plurality of cells comprises a cylindrical cell. Kim et al. provide (fig. 3) an impact-resistant honeycomb structure (soft-resin, 28) having a plurality of cells (24), each of said cells (24) comprises a piezoelectric transducer.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nakaya et al. (US 4,755,707). Nakaya et al. show (fig. 2) an acoustic transducer comprising: a honeycomb structure having a plurality of cells (202); and each of said cells (202) comprises a piezoelectric transducer. Each of said plurality of cells comprises a multi-sided cell.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Takeuchi et al. (US 4,683,396). Takeuchi et al. show (fig. 1) an acoustic transducer comprising: a honeycomb structure having a plurality of cells (101); and each of said cells (101) comprises a piezoelectric transducer. Each of said plurality of cells comprises a multi-sided cell.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Smith (US 5,334,903). Smith shows (fig. 1) an acoustic transducer comprising: a honeycomb structure having a plurality of cells (11); and each of said cells (11) comprises a piezoelectric transducer. Each of said plurality of cells comprises a multisided cell.

Application/Control Number: 09/693,034

Art Unit: 2834

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hanafy (US 5,945,770) in view of Kim et al. (US 5,376,859). Given the invention of Hanafy as noted above, his cells are not cylindrical and he doesn't inherently display a an impact-resistant structure. Given the invention of Kim et al. as noted above, their cells are not comprises of a stack comprising at least one piezocomposite element. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to employ a stack configuration such as is taught by Hanafy in the device of Kim et al. at the time of their invention because such a construction "reduces the impedance of the transducer thereby providing a better electrical match between the ultrasound transducer and the ultrasound system to which it is coupled", as Hanafy teaches in his abstract.

Alternatively it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to employ a cylindrical shape for the piezocomposite elements, in an impact resistant structure, in the device of Hanafy, since this is a structurally strong configuration as Kim et al. teach (col. 1, 1, 68 - col. 2, 1, 5).

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 7-25 and 28-34 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The prior art fails to show or fairly suggest ia soft pressure release material disposed entirely around

Page 5

stacked elements save a front surface, within a honeycomb structure of an acoustic transducer array.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The remaining prior art cited reads on some aspects of the claimed invention.

Direct inquiry concerning this action to Examiner Dougherty at (703) 308-1628.

November 27, 2002

CHORDS IN DOUGHERTY PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 2128

Theman M. Conglish

2800